UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/563,258 | 01/04/2006 | Takeshi Iwatsu | 277188US6PCT | 9948 |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | HOANG, SON T | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2165 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/19/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/563,258 | IWATSU ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | | 00111:110/1110 | 2100 | |
|---|--|--|--|-----------------|
| T | he MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | e correspondence address | |
| THE REPLY | FILED 03 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | FOR ALLOWANCE. | |
| applica applica | oly was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on tion, applicant must timely file one of the following tion in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appentinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cost | replies: (1) an amendment, affida eal (with appeal fee) in compliand | ivit, or other evidence, which places te with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Requ | s the |
| a) 🔲 The | e period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | g date of the final rejection. | | |
| no e | e period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la aminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail | ing date of the final rejection. | |
| | NTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| | 400() | |
| have been filed under 37 CFR set forth in (b) | time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date d is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later by earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing o | nt of the fee. The appropriate extension iginally set in the final Office action; or (| n fee (2) as |
| | otice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must b | e filed within two months of the dat | e of |
| filing th | e Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externor of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), | to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Si | |
| 3. 🛛 The pr | roposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l | but prior to the date of filing a brie | ef, will <u>not</u> be entered because | |
| | They raise new issues that would require further co | | OTE below); | |
| | They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | • | | |
| | They are not deemed to place the application in bet | tter form for appeal by materially i | reducing or simplifying the issues fo | or |
| _ | appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a o | corresponding number of finally r | ajected claims | |
| | NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | sjedica danna. | |
| | mendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | * ** | Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324) | |
| | ant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | , | |
| | proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | e, timely filed amendment canceling | ı the |
| non-all | owable claim(s). | | | |
| how the | rposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) e new or amended claims would be rejected is prov atus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | will be entered and an explanation o | of |
| | s) allowed: | | | |
| | s) objected to: | | | |
| | s) rejected: <u>1-6,10-15,19-22 and 24-30</u> . | | | |
| | s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The aff becaus | OR OTHER EVIDENCE idavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ie applicant failed to provide a showing of good and t earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| entered | idavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing I because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o g a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app | eal and/or appellant fails to provide | e a |
| | ffidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio OR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after | entry is below or attached. | |
| | equest for reconsideration has been considered bu | it does NOT place the application | in condition for allowance because |): |
| 12. Note t | <u>·</u> the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Neveon | Ahal- lalil/ | | | |
| /Neveen / Supervisor | y Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2165 | | | |
| | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The arguments in the amendment filed on February 3, 2010 have been fully considered. However, since the claims contain new limitations that change the scope of the claims and had not been previously examined, this instant amendment will not be entered.

For example, independent claims 1, 10, 19, and 24 contain at least limitations of "determining if a source of said data is a radio broadcast based on said attribution," "deleting data having an oldest storage date from among all data having a source which is not a radio broadcast," and "deleting data having an oldest storage date and a source which is a radio broadcast if said determination means determines that the storage of said data is to be performed, a storage medium for storing said data runs out of space, and all stored data has a source which is a radio broadcast" are new and had not been presented for examination.

Since the amendment is not entered, rejections of the Final Office action mailed on December 3, 2009 are hereby sustained.

2